Lichvar RW, JJ Gillrich, MK Mersel, CE McKernan, and BL Bultema. 2015 An Overview of Research Supporting Wetland and Ordinary High Water Mark Delineation. Wetland Science and Practice 32(4):18-21.

#### APPLIED RESEARCH

## An Overview of Research Supporting Wetland and Ordinary High Water Mark Delineation

Robert W. Lichvar', Jennifer J. Gillrich', Matthew K. Mersel', Cristina E. McKernan', and Betsy L. Bultema'

ver the past 25 years, researchers at the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Engineer Research and Development Center (ERDC) have addressed a wide range of technical issues pertaining to identification and delineation of aquatic resources under §404 of the Clean Water Act (CWA) (US Congress 1977). Research focuses on topics related to wetland and stream delineation, such as identifying ecological relationships between hydrophytic vegetation, hydric soil, and wetland hydrologic conditions, developing and maintaining an accurate and robust National Wetland Plant List (NWPL), and developing ordinary high water mark (OHWM) delineation methods and technical guidance for rivers and streams. NWPL wetland indicator status ratings of plant species, along with soil and hydrologic conditions, are used to define federal jurisdictional limits in wetlands (33 U.S.C. 1344), while the OHWM defines the lateral extent of federal jurisdiction in non-tidal Water of the U.S., in the absence of adjacent wetlands. This work is led by a research group at the USACE-ERDC Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory (CRREL) and performed in collaboration with the National Technical Committee for Wetland Vegetation (NTCWV), whose members represent six federal agencies and four universities, and with the National Technical Committee for the OHWM (NTCOHWM), which has representation from USACE, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and academia. Both of these technical committees provide scientific insight, guidance, peer-review, and a diversity of perspectives from different agencies, regions, and fields of expertise. Research is primarily funded through the USACE Wetlands Regulatory Assistance Program in a continual effort to provide scientific and technical support for the USACE Regulatory Program. Here we present an overview of ongoing research efforts in these topic areas.

Our wetland delineation research supports the wetland regulatory program by enhancing technical procedures consistent with advances in wetland ecology. We evaluate wetland delineation methods to provide accurate field indicators by examining vegetation formulas (e.g., Lichvar et al. 2011; Wakeley and Lichvar 1997; Gillrich et al. 2011; Lichvar and Gillrich 2014a; Lichvar and Gillrich 2014b) and evaluating groups of plants as wetland vegetation indi-

TABLE 1
Summary table of recent studies that support NWPL and Wetland Regulatory Programs

Research Area	Project	Significant findings	Specific support for NWPL and Regulatory
NWPL	Indicator Status Challenges	Indicator status ratings for Colorado blue spruce (Picea pungens) and eastern hemlock (Tsuga canadensis) varies at landscape scales.	Provides methodology for future NWPL indicator status challenges
NWPL	NWPL ratings trends across the US	Appalachian Mountains and Arid West have low wetland densities yet high wetland plant species richness.	Regional and National Panels in these regions can reevaluate rat- ings and revise mitigation efforts
Wetland delineation	Remote Sensing (RS) Boundaries	Preliminary results suggest high variability in boundaries for dif- ferent vegetation types.	Creates guidelines for assessment of RS tools for determining wet- land boundaries

<sup>\*</sup>U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory; correspondence author: Robert W.Lichvar@erdc.dren.mil

Gillrich and Lichvar 2010; Gillrich and Bowman 2010; Lichvar and Gillrich in prep-a) (Table 1). Additionally, through collaborations with remote sensing and geographic information systems (RS/GIS) experts at CRREL we compare RS/GIS models of wetland boundaries to field delineations across the U.S (e.g., Gillrich and Lichvar 2014; Lichvar et al. 2008; Lichvar et al. 2006a) (Table 1). Our research group manages the NWPL through applied research on wetland indicator status ratings, overseeing periodic updates to the NWPL, and administration of the NWPL website (http://wetland\_plants.usace.army.mif). The NWPL is a multi-agency effort involving the U.S. EPA,

cators (e.g., Lichvar et al. 2009; Lichvar and Fertig 2011;

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the U.S. Department of Agriculture Natural Resources Conservation Service. Our research improves the NWPL by examining the accuracy of wetland indicator status ratings for individual and groups of species using spatial data and field studies. For example, by analyzing GIS data of wetland density for the conterminous U.S. (Dahl & Griffin, submitted for publication) and county level species presence/absence data (Kartesz 2013; Lichvar et al. 2014) we've identified areas where NWPL wetland species richness is unexpectedly high or low relative to available wetland habitat across the U.S. (Figure 1: Table 1). Additionally, through our collaborations with the NTCWV and Colorado State University, we have completed two of the first studies that use statistically sound methods of collecting and analyzing frequency data to determine wetland frequency for individual NWPL species challenges (Lichvar and Gillrich in prep-b; Gage et al. in press; Table 1). Our research uses empirical field data to investigate how groups of species respond to abiotic factors other than hydrologic gradients, such as saline soils (halophytes) or a deep water table (phreatophytes) that may influence wetland plant occurrence and distribution patterns at various landscape scales. Our ongoing research helps to clarify the wetland fidelity of groups of problematic plant species, in particular halophytes, phreatophytes, and three problematic Alaskan birch taxa. We've developed guidelines for challenge studies, reevaluation of NWPL indicator status ratings by regional panels, and updating NWPL ratings and descriptions of rating categories (e.g., Lichvar and Minkin 2008; Lichvar and Gillrich 2011;

related to OHWM delineation in fluvial systems and develops OHWM delineation technical guidance aimed at increasing the accuracy and consistency of OHWM delineations across the country. Our research focuses on identifying robust physical and biological OHWM indicators (e.g., Lichvar and Wakeley 2004; Mersel et al. 2014) by assessing relationships between streamflow recurrence and field indicators (e.g., Lichvar et al. 2006b; Curtis et al. 2011; Mersel et al. in prep), identifying variability in OHWM indicators and hydrologic conditions across the

Additionally our research group addresses challenges

Lichvar et al. 2012).

U.S. (e.g., Wohl et al. in prep), and exploring the use of additional tools and data such as remote sensing, hydraulic modeling, and stream gage data to assist with field delineations (e.g., Gartner et al. 2016a, 2016b, and 2016c in press). This work has supported our development of OHWM delineation manuals for the Arid West (Lichvar and McColley 2008) and Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast (Mersel and Lichvar 2014) USACE regions, and we are currently developing additional regional and national technical resources to support and improve OHWM delineation practices across the entire U.S.

Further information on the NWPL and OHWM delineation, including technical reports, manuals, and other resources, can be found online at http://wetland\_plants.usace. army.mil and http://www.erdc.usace.army.mil/OHWM.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This work was made possible by the Wetlands Regulatory Assistance Program (WRAP) of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. We thank David Cate, Michael Ericsson, John Klein, Lindsey Lefebvre, Shawn McColley, Walter Ochs, and Corinna Photos for their hard work and their dedication to this research.

FIGURE 1 Relationship between relative wetland density and wetland plant species richness in the continental United States. Initial analysis shows patterns of low wetland density and high wetland plant species richness in the Appalachian Mountains and Arid West. Relative Wetland Density (WD) Categories: Low: 0.0002 - 0.02, Med: 0.02 - 0.1, High: 0.1 - 1.0. Wetland density is the ratio of wetland area to upland area. Plant Richness (Count of all NWPL species/County) Categories: Low: 29 - 395, Med: 395 - 675. High: 675 - 1259. Data for relative wetland density obtained from Griffin & Dahl, submitted for publication. Data for plant species count per county from Kartesz 2013.



# Relative Wetland Density (WD) and NWPL Plant Richness By County

Low WD - Low Richness Med WD - High Richness Low WD - Med Richness High WD - Low Richness Low WD - High Richness High WD - Med Richness

Med WD - Low Richness High WD - High Richness

Med WD - Med Richness

Lichvar, R.W., and J.J. Gillrich. 2014b. Examining discrepancies among REFERENCES three methods used to make hydrophytic vegetation determinations for Curtis, K.E., R.W. Lichvar, and L.E. Dixon. 2011. Ordinary high flows and wetland delineation purposes. ERDC/CRREL TR-14-2. U.S. Army Enthe stage-discharge relationship in the Arid West region, ERDC/CRREL gineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and TR-11-12. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Lichvar, R.W., and J.J. Gillrich. In prep-a. Determining the reliability Gage, E.A., D.J. Cooper, B.L. Bultema, C.E. McKernan, R.W. Lichvar. of four morphological adaptations as indicators of hydrophytic vegeta-In press, Developing a field-tested wetland indicator rating for blue tion at the wetland boundary ERDC/CRREL TR-16-XX, U.S. Army spruce (Picea pungens) in the western U.S. Wetlands. Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research Gartner J.D., M.K. Mersel, L.E. Lefebvre, and R.W. Lichvar. 2016a. In and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. press. The benefits and limitations of hydraulic modeling for ordinary Lichvar, R.W., and J.J. Gillrich. In prep-b. Testing methods for chalhigh water mark delineation. ERDC/CRREL TR-16-XX. U.S. Army lenging the National Wetland Plant List, ERDC/CRREL TR-16-XX. Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH.

Gartner J.D., M.K. Mersel, and R.W. Lichvar. 2016b. In press. Hydrologic modeling and flood frequency analysis in ordinary high water mark delineation. ERDC/CRREL TR-16-XX. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Gartner J.D., R.W. Lichvar, M.K. Mersel, and L.E. Lefebvre. 2016c. In press. Modeling the ordinary high water mark in arid and semi-arid

regions for the Clean Water Act and other applications. ERDC/CRREL TR-16-XX, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Gillrich, J.J., B.P. Allen, and R.W. Lichvar. 2011. The effect of a lowcover stratum-woody vines-on vegetation determinations made during wetland delineations. Wetlands 31:865-873. Gillrich, J.J. and K.C. Bowman. 2010. Use of bryophytes as indicators of hydric soils and wetland hydrology during wetland delineations in the United States. ERDC/CRREL TN-10-09. U.S. Army Engineer Research

Laboratory, Hanover, NH.

and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH Gillrich, J.J. and R.W. Lichvar. 2010. Sphagnum as an indicator of wetland hydrology in the Atlantic and Gulf Coastal Plain region. ERDC/ CRREL TN-10-2. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover NH. Gillrich, J.J. and R.W. Lichvar. 2014. Use of LiDAR to assist in delineating waters of the United States, including wetlands. ERDC/CRREL TN-03-14, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH.

Griffin, R.K. and T.E. Dahl. Submitted for publication. Wetland density

of the conterminous United States. Physical Geography.

Kartesz, J.T. 2013. Floristic Synthesis of North America, Version 1.0 Biota of North America Program (BONAP). In press. Lichvar, R.W., M.L. Butterwick, N.C. Melvin, and W.N. Kirchner. 2014. The National Wetland Plant List: 2014 update of wetland ratings. Phytoneuron 2014-41:1-42. Lichvar, R. and W. Fertig. 2011. Epiphytes and the National Wetland Plant List. Phytoneuron 2011-17:1-31.

Lichvar, R.W., D.C. Finnegan, M.P. Ericsson, and W.R. Ochs. 2006b. Distribution of ordinary high water mark (OHWM) indicators and their reliability in identifying the limits of 'Waters of the United States' in arid southwestern channels. ERDC/CRREL TR-06-5. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH

Lichvar, R.W., D.C. Finnegan, S. Newman, and W. Ochs. 2006a. Delineating and evaluating vegetation conditions of vernal pools using spaceborne and airborne remote sensing techniques, Beale Air Force Base, CA. ERDC/CRREL TN-06-3. U.S. Army Engineer Research and tory, Hanover, NH Lichvar, R.W., and J.J. Gillrich. 2011. Final protocol for assessing

Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laborawetland indicator status ratings during the National Wetland Plant List update, ERDC/CRREL TR-11-1, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Lichvar, R.W., and J.J. Gillrich. 2014a. Field testing new plot designs

and methods for determining hydrophytic vegetation during wetland delineations in the United States. ERDC/CRREL TN-14-1. U.S. Army

Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research

and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH.

U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Lichvar, R.W., J.J. Gillrich, and W.R. Ochs. 2011. Discrepancies in hydrophytic determinations produced by three vegetation formulas used for wetland delineations. Wetlands 31:603-611. Lichvar, R.W., G.A. Laursen, R.D. Seppelt, and W.R. Ochs. 2009. Selecting and testing cryptogam species for use in wetland delineation in Alaska. Arctic, 62:119-225. Lichvar, R.W., and S.M. McColley. 2008. A Field Guide to the identifi-

Lichvar, R.W., and J.J. Gillrich. In prep-c. Examining discrepancies

in wetland frequency produced by data collected at wetland boundar-

ies and data collected across the landscape ERDC/CRREL TR-16-XX.

cation of the ordinary high water mark (OHWM) in the Arid West region of the Western United States. ERDC/CRREL TR-08-12. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Lichvar, R.W., N.C. Melvin, M.L. Butterwick, and W.N. Kirchner. 2012. National Wetland Plant List indicator rating definitions. ERDC/CRREL TR-12-1. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Lichvar, R., and P. Minkin. 2008. Concepts and procedures for updating the National Wetland Plant List. ERDC/CRREL TN-08-03. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research

and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH.

for delineating the ordinary high water mark on playas of the arid western United States. Wetlands 28:68-80. Lichvar, R.W., and J.S. Wakeley. 2004. Review of ordinary high water mark indicators for delineating arid streams in the southwestern United States. ERDC TR-04-1, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Mersel, M.K., and R.W. Lichvar. 2014. A guide to ordinary high water mark delineation in non-perennial stream systems in the U.S. Western Mountains, Valleys, and Coast region. ERDC/CRREL TR-14-13. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Re-

Lichvar R., W. Ochs, and S. Gains. 2008. Evaluation of surface features

Occurrence and distribution of ordinary high water mark indicators in in non-perennial stream systems in the U.S. Western Mountain region. northeastern United States. ERDC/CRREL TR-XX-XX. U.S. Army En-

search and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. Mersel, M.K., R.W. Lichvar, J.J. Gillrich, and L.E. Lefebvre. 2014. ERDC/CRREL TR-14-11. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory,

Hanover, NH. Mersel, M.K., R.W. Lichvar, and L.E. Lefebvre. In prep. Exploring ordinary high water mark (OHWM) indicators and hydrology in the gineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and

Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH. U.S. Congress. 1977. Federal Water Pollution Control Act. 33 U.S.C. § § 1251-1387 et seq. (amended 27 December 1977). 95th Congress. http:// www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/STATUTE-91/pdf/STATUTE-91-Pg1566.pdf (accessed November 2015). Wakeley, J.S., and R.W. Lichvar. 1997. Disagreements between plotbased prevalence indices and dominance ratios in evaluations of wetland

vegetation. Wetlands 17:301-309. Wohl E. and others. In prep. The scientific context for the ordinary high water mark. ERDC/CRREL TR-XX-XX. U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory, Hanover, NH.